ments on colloidal CdS particles in acetonitrile. They associated the three observed lifetimes to "initial weak trapping, electron reemission to the conduction band and radiative recombination from the conduction band after traps are filled". However, many decay curves and, as shown in Figure 8, any series of phase/ modulation data could be described fairly well with three components. Additionally, the CdS particles investigated here fall in the polymolecular quantum-size regime (BEL position at 460 nm) while CdS particles in acetonitrile have rather macrocrystalline properties (BEL position at 515 nm). Additional work is needed to prove the significance of the three-component  $\phi/m$  decay data.

In summary, this paper provides the first description of the sol-gel based preparation of a compact quantum semiconductor membrane. In this preliminary work we have only attempted to give a general overview of their spectroscopic properties. Additionally, the preliminary results presented here have demonstrated the usefulness of the multiple-frequency phase/modulation approach and shown that this approach is at least comparable to picosecond time-domain techniques. These results also demonstrate that the technique of MHFT spectroscopy might be extended to dynamic semiconductor surface investigations.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support by the U.S. EPA (R 813457-01-0) and U.S. DOE (PO#AX079886-1). We thank Dr. Kerry M. Swift from SLM Instruments for obtaining the phase and modulation data and Professor A. B. Ellis and C. G. Hill, Jr., for helpful discussions.

# A Novel Monooxoruthenium(V) Complex Containing a Polydentate Pyridyl Amine Ligand. Syntheses, Reactivities, and X-ray Crystal Structure of $[Ru^{III}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$

### Chi-Ming Che,\*,1a Vivian Wing-Wah Yam, 1a,c and Thomas C. W. Mak<sup>1b,†</sup>

Contribution from the Departments of Chemistry, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, and The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong. Received September 21, 1988

Abstract: The syntheses and characterization of cis- $[Ru^{111}(tepa)Cl_2]^+$ ,  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$ , and  $[Ru^V(N_4O)O]^{2+}$  complexes are described [tepa = tris(2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)amine,  $N_4OH = bis(2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)(2-hydroxy-2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)amine]$ . The molar magnetic susceptibilities for [ $Ru^{11}(N_4O)(H_2O)$ ]<sup>2+</sup> and [ $Ru^V(N_4O)O$ ]<sup>2+</sup> complexes are 1.79 and 2.2  $\mu_B$ , respectively. The X-ray structure of  $[Ru^{11}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$  has been determined:  $[Ru^{111}(C_{21}H_{23}N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$ , M = 666.43, monoclinic, space group P2/c (no. 13), a = 11.644 (1) Å, b = 11.937 (3) Å, c = 18.856 (6) Å,  $\beta = 105.39$  (2)°, V = 2527 (1) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_x = 1.753$ ,  $D_c = 1.752$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 8.82 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The Ru–O(OH<sub>2</sub>) and Ru–O(N<sub>4</sub>O) distances are (1)  $A^{p}$ , Z = 4,  $D_{x} = 1.753$ ,  $D_{c} = 1.752$  g cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\mu$ (100 kG) = 0.02 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The Ku O(012) and Ku O(142) distances are 2.115 (3) and 1.961 (4) Å, respectively. The [Ru<sup>V</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)O]<sup>2+</sup> complex shows an intense Ru=O stretch at 872 cm<sup>-1</sup> which is absent in the [Ru<sup>III</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sup>2+</sup> complex. The Ru(V) state in [Ru<sup>V</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)O]<sup>2+</sup> has also been confirmed by spectrophotometric redox titration in 0.1 M HClO<sub>4</sub> by using [Ru<sup>II</sup>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(bpy)]<sup>2+</sup> (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) as the redox titrant. A stoichiometry of 1:3 has been obtained. The cyclic voltammograms of both [Ru<sup>III</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sup>2+</sup> complex show two couples at 0.35 and 1.02 V vs SCE in aqueous medium at pH = 1, assignable to a Ru(III/II) and a Ru(V/III) couple, respectively. At 5.5 > pH > 3.5, the wave for the Ru(V/III) couple splits into two waves, a pH-independent one-electron wave for the Ru(V/IV) couple and a two-proton-one-electron wave with a slope of -117 mV/pH unit for the Ru(IV/III) couple. The Ru(1V) state is found to be thermodynamically unstable with respect to disproportionation into Ru(III) and Ru(V) at pH < 3.5. The  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  complex is found to be an active oxidant, capable of oxidizing both activated C-H bonds and the C-H bond of cyclohexane. Studies on the reactions of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  with organic substrates indicated that the Ru(V)=O has a higher affinity for hydrogen atom/hydride abstraction than oxo-transfer reaction to C=C double bond.

High-valent oxo complexes of ruthenium have long been known to be active oxidants for a variety of substrate oxidation reactions.<sup>2</sup> The interest in studying these complexes stems its origin from the postulation that high-valent oxoiron species is involved in the enzymatic reactions of cytochrome P-450, which is the hemoprotein known to catalyze hydroxylation of unactivated C-H bonds under mild conditions. Ruthenium, being in the same group as iron, is particularly interesting to study owing to the wide applicability of its oxides such as RuO<sub>4</sub> in synthetic organic chemistry. Despite the recent progress in successful isolation and characterization of monooxoruthenium(IV) and dioxoruthenium(VI) complexes,<sup>2,3</sup> there are few studies on oxoruthenium(V) species<sup>4.5</sup> which are suggested to be the reactive intermediates in the oxidation of water to oxygen.<sup>6</sup> The monooxoruthenium(V) complexes should deserve special attention since they could provide an insight into the mechanism played by the Ru(V) = O moiety in these reactions. Although a large number of catalytic systems using ruthenium(III) complexes and an oxygen atom donor such as PhIO7 or NaOCl<sup>8</sup> are known to activate

<sup>+</sup>Author to whom correspondence on X-ray structure should be sent.

epoxidation of olefins via a postulated "Ru(V) = O" intermediate, attempts to isolate this class of compounds have been unsuccessful.

of Hong Kong, Snatin, New Territories, Hong Kong. (c) Present address: Department of Applied Science, City Polytechnic of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
(2) (a) Roecker, L.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 746. (b) Lau, T. C.; Kochi, J. K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 798. (c) Griffith, W. P.; Ley, S. V.; Whitcombe, G. P.; White, A. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 1625. (d) El-Hendway, A. M.; Griffith, W. P.; Piggott, B.; Williams, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1988, 1893. (e) Marmion, M. E.; Takeuchi, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1472. (f) Llobet, A.; Doppelt, P.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 514.
(3) (a) Che, C. M.; Wong, K. Y.; Mak, T. C. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 986. (c) Che, C. M.; Wong, K. Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 986. (c) Che, C. M.; Wong, K. Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 26, 2289. (e) Che, C. M.; Leung, W. H.; Poon, C. K. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 1376.
(4) (a) Wong, K. Y.; Mak, T. C. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 26, 737.
(b) Che, C. M.; Wong, K. Y.; Mak, T. C. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 229. (c) Che, C. M.; Wong, K. Y.; Mak, T. C. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. 1985, 988.

<sup>(1) (</sup>a) Department of Chemistry, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong. (b) Department of Chemistry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong. (c) Present address: Department of Applied Science, City Polytechnic of Hong Kong, Tat Chee



Figure 1. Tris(2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)amine (tepa) and bis(2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)(2-hydroxy-2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)amine (N<sub>4</sub>OH).

To our knowledge, monooxooruthenium(V) complexes have not yet been isolated. The ligand tris(2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)amine, abbreviated as tepa (Figure 1), was initially employed to generate a stable cis-dioxoruthenium(VI) complex owing to the tripodal nature of the ligand. In the course of our studies, we have found that the aliphatic C-H bond adjacent to one of the pyridine rings is easily oxidized to a C-OH group. Deprotonation of the hydroxyl group produces a monoanionic, pentadentate N<sub>4</sub>O<sup>-</sup> ligand<sup>9</sup> (Figure 1). The anionic chelating nature of the  $N_4O^-$  ligand, which is also oxidation resistant, should render the stabilization of a high oxidation state and formation of strongly oxidizing complexes possible. In this contribution, we report the synthesis, characterization, electrochemistry, and reactivities of a novel ruthenium(V)-oxo complex and the X-ray structure of its precursor  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  species.

### **Experimental Section**

K<sub>2</sub>[RuCl<sub>5</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)] was purchased from Johnson Matthey Chemical Ltd.  $\dot{c}_{is}$ -[Ru<sup>ii</sup>( $\dot{N}H_3$ )<sub>4</sub>(bpy)](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) was prepared according to the literature method.<sup>10</sup> Acetonitrile (Mallinckrodt, Acetonitrile (Mallinckrodt, ChromAR, HPLC grade) was twice distilled over CaH<sub>2</sub> and KMnO<sub>4</sub>. All other reagents and solvents used in syntheses and physical measurements were of analytical grade.

Ligand Synthesis. The tripodal tris(2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)amine (tepa) ligand was synthesized according to Karlin's method<sup>11</sup> by reaction of excess 2-vinvlpvridine with ammonium acetate in methanol. After removal of methanol by rotary evaporation, the reaction mixture was cooled to ca. 5 °C in an ice bath. Sodium hydroxide solution (20%) was slowly added to the solution with stirring until the mixture became alkaline. The solution was then extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4 × 100 mL). The  $CH_2Cl_2$ extracts were combined, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and rotary-evaporated to give an oily residue. This was column-chromatographed on silica gel with methanol as the eluent. Collection of different fractions was continuously monitored by thin-layer chromatography on silica gel with methanol as the mobile phase. The first fraction collected was unreacted 2-vinylpyridine, which was followed by a second fraction of the tepa ligand: <sup>1</sup>H NMR in CDCl<sub>3</sub> δ in ppm 2.9 (s, 12 H), 7.2 (m, 9 H), 8.4 (d, 3 H).

Caution: The following three compounds are isolated as perchlorate salts, which are potentially explosive and should be handled in small quantities.

Syntheses of Ruthenium Complexes. cis-[Ru<sup>111</sup>(tepa)Cl<sub>2</sub>]Y (Y = Cl, ClO<sub>4</sub>). An ethanolic solution of the ligand tepa (0.5 g in 200 mL) was added dropwise to a refluxing and vigorously stirred ethanolic suspension of  $K_2[RuCl_5(H_2O)]$  (0.5 g in 200 mL). The addition process took about 3 h to complete, and the mixture was further refluxed for 1 day. This was filtered, and the filtrate, with a few drops of hydrochloric acid (12 M) added, was evaporated to dryness. A reddish brown solid was obtained, which was recrystallized in hot HCl (2 M) to give reddish brown crystals of cis-[Ru<sup>111</sup>(tepa)Cl<sub>2</sub>]Cl (yield  $\simeq 46\%$ ). The perchlorate salt of cis-[Ru<sup>111</sup>(tepa)Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> was obtained as a reddish brown solid by metathesis reaction with NaClO<sub>4</sub> in an HCl (2 M) solution: UV-vis in HCl (1 M),  $\lambda_{max}/nm$  ( $\epsilon_{max}/nol^{-1}$  dm<sup>3</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) 380 (1520), 267 (12170), 215 (17030). Anal. Calcd for [Ru<sup>111</sup>(tepa)Cl<sub>2</sub>]Cl: C, 46.7; H, 4.5; N, 10.4; Cl, 19.7. Found: C, 46.6; H, 4.6; N, 10.0; Cl, 19.5.

(10) Brown, G. M.; Yam, V. W. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1262.
(10) Brown, G. M.; Sutin, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 883.
(11) (a) Karlin, K. D.; Hayes, J. C.; Juen, S.; Hutchinson, J. P.; Zubieta, J. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 4106. (b) Karlin, K. D.; Hayes, J. C.; Hutchinson, J. P.; Hyde, J. R.; Zubieta, J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1982, 64, L219.

 $[\mathbf{Ru}^{111}(\mathbf{N_4O})(\mathbf{H_2O})](\mathbf{ClO_4})_2$ . Treatment of cis- $[\mathbf{Ru}^{111}(\mathbf{tepa})\mathbf{Cl_2}]\mathbf{Cl}$  (0.3) g) with silver(I) p-toluenesulfonate (0.6 g) in deionized water (20 mL) at ca. 80 °C for 0.5 h gave a yellowish green solution which was then filtered to remove the insoluble AgCl. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (30%, 3 mL) was slowly added to the filtrate, while the solution was kept at 60 °C for 5 min. The solution was then cooled in an ice bath. Upon addition of NaClO<sub>4</sub>, golden brown crystals of  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$  which could be recrystallized from hot HClO<sub>4</sub> (0.1 M, 60 °C) deposited (yield, 52%). Sometimes if the reaction mixture was overheated in the chloro-removal step, the solution became dark blue-green in color, and upon addition of NaClO<sub>4</sub>, a dark blue-green solid was deposited together with the golden brown  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$  crystal. This dark solid could be removed by either washing the mixture of solids with acetonitrile or by warming in perchloric acid (0.1 M, 60 °C) for ca. 15 min. Anal. Calcd for  $[Ru^{III}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot H_2O: C, 36.7; H, 3.9; N, 8.1; Cl, 10.4.$  $Found: C, 37.0; H, 3.8; N, 7.6; Cl, 10.1. UV-vis in H_2O, <math>\lambda_{max}/nm$  $(\epsilon_{max}/mol^{-1} dm^3 cm^{-1})$  405 sh (920), 290 (6990), 267 (7930), 228 (7370), 200 (14620); IR  $\nu$  (OH), 3290 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\Lambda_{\rm M}$  in H<sub>2</sub>O 253  $\Omega^{-1}$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> at 297 K;  $\mu_{\rm eff}$  (solid sample, Gouy method) 1.79  $\mu$ B at 300 K.

 $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O](ClO_{4})_{2}$ .  $[Ru^{11}(N_{4}O)(H_{2}O)](ClO_{4})_{2}$  (0.2 g) was dissolved in minimum amount of deionized water with slight warming. To an ice-cooled, vigorously stirred solution of excess (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>] was slowly added the saturated solution of  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$ , with subsequent darkening of the reaction mixture, to give a dark brown solution. This was followed by subsequent precipitation of  $[Ru^{v}(N_{4}-O)O](ClO_{4})_{2}$  with NaClO<sub>4</sub>. The  $[Ru^{v}(N_{4}O)O](ClO_{4})_{2}$  complex was obtained as a brownish green microcrystalline solid (yield, 50%). UV-vis in H<sub>2</sub>O,  $\lambda_{max}/nm$  ( $\epsilon_{max}/mol^{-1} dm^3 cm^{-1}$ ) 300 sh (2490), 260 (8180), 210 (14260); IR  $\nu$  (Ru=O), 872 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\Lambda_{M}$  in H<sub>2</sub>O, 302  $\Omega^{-1}$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> at 297 K.  $\mu_{eff}$  (solid sample, Gouy method) 2.29  $\mu_B$  at 300 K. Anal. Calcd for [Ru<sup>v</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)O](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>: C, 37.8; H, 3.5; N, 8.4; Cl, 10.7. Found: C, 37.4; H, 3.4; N, 8.0; Cl, 10.6.

Instrumentation and Techniques. UV-vis spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu UV-240 spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were run on a Jeol Model FX90Q (90 MHz) spectrometer. Magnetic susceptibility was determined by using the Gouy method with Hg[Co(SCN)<sub>4</sub>] as calibrant. Redox titration of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O](ClO_{4})_{2}$  was performed on the Shimadzu UV-240 spectrophotometer by using *cis*- $[Ru^{II}(NH_{3})_{4}(bpy)](PF_{6})_{2}$  as the redox titrant and by monitoring the drop in intensity of the [Ru<sup>11</sup>- $(NH_3)_4(bpy)](PF_6)_2$  absorption band at 522 nm in 0.1 M HClO<sub>4</sub> + 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> with addition of  $[Ru^{v}(N_{4}O)O](ClO_{4})_{2}$  in steps of 20  $\mu L$ using a micropipette. The  $pK_a$  value measurements were performed by using the spectrophotometric method. Data were taken at two independent wavelengths (240 and 340 nm), and the results obtained are in good agreement to each other.

Cyclic voltammetry and controlled potential coulometry were performed by using a Princeton Applied Research (PAR) Model 175 universal programmer, Model 173 potentiostat, and Model 179 digital coulometer. The working electrode used was an edge plane pyrolytic graphite (EPG, Union Carbide) electrode. The electrode surfaces were pretreated by procedures as previously described.<sup>12</sup> Rotating disc voltammetry was performed by using a Pine Instrument Model RDE4 bipotentiostat with an ASR-2 analytical rotator and an EPG (0.32 cm<sup>2</sup>) electrode. Treatments of electrodes were done in the same way as in cyclic voltammetry.

Stoichiometric oxidation of organic substrates by [Ru<sup>V</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)O](Cl- $O_4$ )<sub>2</sub> (20 mg) was performed by suspending the ruthenium complex in acetonitrile (1 mL) containing the dissolved substrates (solid, 50-100 mg; liquid, 0.2 mL). The reaction mixture was degassed, filled with argon, and stirred for 20-30 min with a magnetic stirrer, and the temperature was maintained at room temperature in a water bath. A control experiment in the absence of the ruthenium complex was performed for each reaction. The products were analyzed by GC, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, and UVvis spectroscopy. Gas chromatographic analyses were conducted by using a Varian Model 940 gas chromatograph with a flame ionization detector. Gas chromatographic columns used included a 10% w/w Carbowax 20M on chromosorb W (80-100 mesh), a 10% w/w SE-30 on chromosorb W (80-100 mesh), and a 10% TCEPE on chromosorb P (80-100 mesh), with nitrogen as the carrier gas. Component identification was established by comparing the retention time with an authentic sample or by gas chromatographic-mass spectral analysis (GC-MS). cis- and trans-Stilbene oxides were quantified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy by using deoxybenzoin as the internal standard. The reaction mixture was rotary evaporated and extracted with n-hexane for at least five times. Deoxybenzoin was added to the combined extracts and rotary evaporated to dryness at room temperature. The residue was quantitatively transferred to an NMR tube by using CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> or CDCl<sub>3</sub> as the solvent, and the

<sup>(5)</sup> Tooze, R. P.; Wilkinson, G.; Motevalli, M.; Hursthouse, M. B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1986, 2711.

<sup>(6)</sup> Rotzinger, F. P.; Munavalli, S.; Comte, P.; Hurst, J. K.; Gratzel, M.;

Pern, F. J.; Frank, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 6619.
 (7) Dolphin, D.; James, B. R.; Leung, T. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1983, 79, 25.
 (8) Eskenazi, C.; Balavoine, G.; Meunier, F.; Riviere, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1111.

<sup>(9)</sup> Che, C. M.; Yam, V. W. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1262.

<sup>(12)</sup> Che, C. M.; Wong, K. Y.; Anson, F. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1987, 226, 211,

spectrum was run on the Jeol Model FX90Q spectrometer.

Quantitative analysis of chloride oxidation to give chlorine was monitored by the UV-vis spectrophotometric method by using DPD (Lovibond, Palintest) as the color-developing reagent, where DPD = N,Ndiethyl-p-phenylenediamine. [Ru<sup>V</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)O](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2 mg) was dissolved in 1 M HCl (2 mL) and stirred for 0.5 h in a water bath at room temperature. At the end of the reaction, 0.2 mL of the reaction mixture was withdrawn, double-distilled water was added to increase the mixture's volume to 20 mL, and a DPD no. 4 tablet (100 mg) was added with thorough mixing. The absorbance at 515 nm was measured immediately. Control experiments in the absence of the ruthenium(V) complex and in the presence of  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$  were performed to correct for any Cl<sub>2</sub> gas existing in the 1 M HCl. No chlorine was detected when  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$  was used as the oxidant. Calibration was obtained by using potassium permanganate as oxidant in the DPD reaction.

X-ray Structure Determination. Crystal Data.  $[Ru^{111}(C_{21}H_{23}N_{4}-O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$  crystallized from 0.1 M HClO<sub>4</sub> as golden-brown flat prisms, M = 666.43: monoclinic, space group P2/c (no. 13), a = 11.644(1) Å, b = 11.937 (3) Å, c = 18.856 (6) Å,  $\beta = 105.39$  (2)°, V = 2527(1) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_x$  (flotation in CCl<sub>4</sub>/BrCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Br) = 1.753 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_c = 1.752$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 1348,  $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 8.82 cm<sup>-1</sup>; crystal dimensions  $0.18 \times 0.16 \times 0.08$  nm.

Intensities  $(h,k,\pm l;$  4463 unique data) were measured at 22 °C on a Nicolet R3m diffractometer by using the  $\omega$ -2 $\theta$  variable-scan (2.02-8.37) deg min<sup>-1</sup>) technique in the bisecting mode up to  $2\theta_{max} = 50^{\circ}$ . Azimuthal scans of selected strong reflections over a range of  $2\theta$  values were used to define a pseudoellipsoid for the application of absorption corrections  $(\mu r = 0.058, \text{ transmission factors } 0.825-0.926).^{13-16}$ 

Atomic coordinates for the Ru atom were deduced from a sharpened Patterson function, and the other non-hydrogen atoms were located from subsequent difference Fourier maps. Two independent perchlorate groups occupy sites of crystallographic symmetry 2. The remaining perchlorate group in the asymmetric unit is disordered, with two distinct orientations of unequal population centered at the Cl(1) atom. A common site occupancy factor, g, was associated with the set of atoms O-(3)-O(6) (representing the preferred orientation) and varied as a parameter and that for the O(3')-O(6') (less favored orientation) was accordingly held at 1.0 - g. To facilitate refinement of the disordered O atoms, the Cl(1)-O bond distances were subjected to a constraint of  $1.400 \pm 0.005$  Å by the method of additional observational equations.<sup>14</sup> Blocked-cascade least-squares refinement proceeded with isotropic thermal parameters for all ordered non-hydrogen atoms and isotropic ones for the disordered O atoms.

The aromatic, methylene, and methine H atoms were generated geometrically (C-H fixed at 0.96 Å) and allowed to ride on their respective parent carbon atoms; they were included in structure factor calculations with assigned isotropic thermal parameters. The H atoms of the aqua ligand failed to appear in the final difference map.

All computations were performed on a Data General Nova 3/12 minicomputer with the SHELXTL programs.<sup>15</sup> Analytic expressions of neutral-atom scattering factors incorporating the real and imaginary components of anomalous dispersion were employed.<sup>16</sup> Convergence for components of anomalous dispersion were employed. Convergence for 3519 observed data  $(|F_o| > 3\sigma|F_o|)$  and 356 variables was reached at R= 0.056,  $w_R = 0.060$ , and s = 1.531 with weighting scheme  $w = [\sigma^2(|F_o|)$ + 0.0005 $|F_o|^2]^{-1}$ . The final difference Fourier map showed residual extrema in the range + 0.84 to -0.58 eÅ<sup>-3</sup>, with the three highest peaks lying in the neighborhood of the disordered O atoms. The free variable g refined to the value 0.707 (2).

#### **Results and Discussion**

The tetradentate tepa ligand was previously reported by Karlin and co-workers.<sup>11</sup> In this work, this ligand was found to react with  $K_2[RuCl_5(H_2O)]$  to give *cis*- $[Ru^{lli}(tepa)Cl_2]^+$  in a manner similar to the reported synthesis of trans-dichlororuthenium(III) macrocyclic amine complexes.<sup>17a,b</sup> The IR spectra of the cis- $[Ru^{11}(tepa)Cl_2]Y (Y = Cl, ClO_4)$  complexes show the absence of  $\nu$  (OH) stretch in the 3600-3000-cm<sup>-1</sup> region, indicating that oxidation of the ligand to N<sub>4</sub>OH does not occur in the metal insertion reaction. The structure of cis-[Ru<sup>111</sup>(tepa)Cl<sub>2</sub>]ClO<sub>4</sub> is



Figure 2. An ORTEP diagram of  $[Ru^{11}(N_4O)OH_2]^{2+}$  with atom labeling.

Scheme I



also inferred from its osmium analogue, cis-[Os<sup>111</sup>(tepa)Cl<sub>2</sub>]ClO<sub>4</sub>, which has the same IR spectrum as the Ru(III) species and has been characterized by X-ray crystallography.<sup>17c</sup>

Removal of chloro groups of cis-[Ru<sup>111</sup>(tepa)Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> by Ag(I) with subsequent oxidation by  $H_2O_2$  and addition of NaClO<sub>4</sub> yielded [Ru<sup>111</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)(H<sub>2</sub>O)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>18</sup> which has been confirmed by X-ray crystallography. The formation of [Ru<sup>111</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)(H<sub>2</sub>O)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> as the product with the aliphatic C-H bond adjacent to one of the pyridine rings being oxidized to C-OH does not meet our expectations. It was found that absence of  $H_2O_2$  had no effect on the result, suggesting  $H_2O_2$  was not involved in the crucial step for the oxidation of the tepa ligand. Presumably, the presence of the Ru(III) metal center was responsible for the C-H bond activation. The C-H bond adjacent to the pyridine ring, being an activated benzylic C-H bond, is easily oxidized via a proposed mechanism (Scheme I). The oxidant ([O]) could be Ag(I) or the Ru(III) metal center. We believe that intramolecular oxidation by Ru(III) is the feasible pathway since it has been found that  $Ru(III)-H_2O$  complexes of 2,2'-bipyridine such as trans- $[Ru^{111}(bpy)_2(OH)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  are able to oxidize benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde.<sup>19</sup> In fact, copper-mediated hydroxylation of a similar pyridine type of ligand has also been reported by Karlin and co-workers.20

The measured  $\mu_{eff}$  of 1.79  $\mu_B$  for  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$ at room temperature is close to the spin-only value for one unpaired electron [Ru(III),  $(t_{2g})^5$ ]. The Ru(III) complex exists as a 1:2 electrolyte in fluid solution and has an average  $pK_a$  value of 4.7 which is comparable to the corresponding value of 4.1 for  $[Ru^{111}(NH_3)_5(H_2O)]^{3+,21}$  Compared to the  $pK_a$  value of 10.2 for  $[Ru^{11}(bpy)_2(py)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  (py = pyridine)<sup>22</sup> which is also a di-

(17) (a) Poon, C. K.; Che, C. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 756.
(b) Che, C. M.; Kwong, S. S.; Poon, C. K. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 1601. (c) Che, C. M.; Mak, T. C. W. Unpublished Results.
(18) In the synthesis of [Ru<sup>III</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)(H<sub>2</sub>O)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, a dark blue-green solid was sometimes isolated as a side-product if the chloro removal step was performed at too bich a temperature. Us calculate account of comparison bek to

performed at too high a temperature. Its relative ease of conversion back to  $[Ru^{11}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$  upon warming with 0.1 M HClO<sub>4</sub> suggested its [Rum(140)( $n_2O$ )](Cl04)2 upon warming with 0.1 M FIClo4 suggested its identity as a polymeric ruthenium species, most probably a  $\mu$ -oxo species. Elemental analysis suggested its structure to be {[(H<sub>2</sub>O)(tepa)Ru<sup>III</sup>]<sub>2</sub>O]-(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·HClO<sub>4</sub> [UV-vis,  $\lambda$ /nm ( $\epsilon$ /M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN: 604 (7540), 315 (9160), 250 (15780), 196 (53125). Anal. Calcd: C, 35.58; H, 3.94; N, 7.90; Cl, 12.53. Found: C, 36.34; H, 4.08; N, 7.50; Cl, 12.20.] No further attempts have been made to identify this species further. Removal of this side-product could be achieved by the careful control of temperature in the chloro removal

step or, if formed, by washing it off with acetonitrile. (19) Che, C. M.; Leung, W. H.; Poon, C. K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Com-mun. **1987**, 173.

(20) Karlin, K. D.; Hayes, J. C.; Gultneh, Y.; Cruse, R. W.; McKown, J.
W.; Hutchinson, J. P.; Zubieta, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2121.
(21) Kuehn, C. G.; Taube, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 689.

<sup>(13) (</sup>a) Kopfmann, G.; Huber, R. Acta. Crystallogr., Sect. A 1968, 24, 348. (b) North, A. C. T.; Phillips, D. C.; Matthews, F. S. Acta. Crystallogr.

<sup>348. (</sup>b) North, A. C. L.; Phillips, D. C.; Mattnews, F. S. Acta. Crystallogr. Sect. A 1968, 24, 351.
(14) Rollett, J. S. Crystallographic Computing; Ahmed, F. R., Ed.; Munksgaard: Copenhagen, 1970; p 167.
(15) Sheldrick, G. M. Computational Crystallography; Sayre, D., Ed.; Oxford University Press: New York, 1982; p 506.
(16) International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol. 4, pp 55, 99, 149.

Table I. Atomic Coordinates ( $\times 10^5$  for Ru;  $\times 10^4$  for Other Atoms) and Thermal Parameters<sup>a</sup> (Å<sup>2</sup> × 10<sup>4</sup> for Ru;  $\times 10^3$  for Other Atoms)

atom	x	У	Z	$U_{eq}$ or U
	(i	) Complex cat	ion	
Ru	16096 (4)	20733 (4)	37026 (2)	278 (1)*
<b>O</b> (1)	1556 (3)	1830 (3)	2666 (2)	26 (1)*
N(1)	3207 (4)	1193 (4)	3864 (3)	39 (1)*
N(2)	2560 (4)	3474 (4)	3520 (2)	34 (1)*
N(3)	1816 (4)	2601 (4)	4791 (2)	34 (1)*
N(4)	580 (4)	663 (4)	3796 (3)	37 (1)*
C(1)	3602 (5)	1314 (5)	3163 (3)	44 (1)*
$\tilde{C}(2)$	2742(5)	2092 (5)	2645 (3)	41 (1)*
$\tilde{C}(3)$	2981 (5)	3317 (5)	2934 (3)	36 (1)*
C(4)	3568 (5)	4131 (5)	2654 (3)	47 (1)*
C(5)	3748 (6)	5144 (5)	3013 (4)	54 (1)*
C(6)	3359 (5)	5312 (5)	3623 (4)	53 (1)*
C(7)	2744 (5)	4472 (5)	3863 (3)	41 (1)*
C(8)	4148 (5)	1665 (6)	4496 (3)	49 (1)*
C(9)	3832 (5)	1704 (6)	5216 (3)	54 (1)*
C(10)	2876 (5)	2555 (5)	5303 (3)	42 (1)*
Cùń	3097 (6)	3200 (6)	5940 (4)	57 (1)*
C(12)	2202 (7)	3859 (6)	6065 (4)	61 (1)*
C(13)	1103 (6)	3859 (5)	5570 (3)	53 (Ì)*
C(14)	937 (6)	3235 (5)	4935 (3)	44 (1)*
Č(15)	3073 (6)	-48 (5)	3391 (4)	52 (1)*
C(16)	2069 (6)	-576 (6)	3438 (4)	64 (1)*
C(17)	829 (6)	-365 (5)	3601 (3)	51 (1)*
C(18)	-1(7)	-1232 (6)	3527 (4)	73 (1)*
C(19)	-1067 (7)	-1034 (6)	3667 (4)	74 (1)*
C(20)	-1296 (6)	7 (5)	3912 (4)	58 (1)*
C(21)	-459 (5)	818 (5)	3969 (3)	44 (1)*
O(2)	-26 (3)	2941 (3)	3346 (2)	33 (1)*
	(ii) Di	sordered ClO	- Groun	
$\mathbf{C}(1)$	2894 (2)	2907 (2)	428 (1)	75 (1)*
O(3)	1780(4)	2307 (2)	420 (1)	258 (2)*
O(3)	3030 (9)	2470 (8)	-238(3)	187(2)*
O(4)	3168 (9)	2470(8) 2045(7)	-236(3)	219(2)*
0(5)	3769 (6)	3751 (6)	655 (6)	195(2)*
O(3')	2860 (7)	1743(3)	357 (4)	92(2)
O(4')	2163 (5)	3244 (8)	875 (3)	60(2)
O(5')	4062 (4)	3263 (7)	745 (6)	442(3)
O(6')	2469 (10)	3404 (6)	-268(3)	266 (3)
	2-07 (10)		200 (3)	
(11) (		Groups Each L	Jying on a 2-F	ola Axis
CI(2)	5000	1389 (2)	7500	48 (1)*
O(7)	4311 (6)	2062 (6)	7832 (4)	122 (1)*
O(8)	4263 (5)	703 (4)	6953 (3)	81 (1)*
CI(3)	0	4169 (2)	7500	56 (1)*
O(9)	10 (7)	4857 (5)	6896 (3)	115 (1)*
O(10)	1022 (5)	3474 (5)	7657 (4)	101 (1)*

<sup>a</sup> Asterisk indicates equivalent isotropic temperature factor  $U_{eo}$  defined as 1/3 of te trace of the orthogonalized U matrix. The exponent of the isotropic temperature factor takes the form  $-8\pi^2 U \sin^2 \theta/\lambda^2$ .

positive cation, the much lower  $pK_a$  value for  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$ is expected given the fact that  $p_{\pi}(OH)$  and  $d_{\pi}(Ru) \pi$ -bonding is not significant in the electron-rich Ru(II) center.

X-ray Structure of  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)](CIO_4)_2$ . Tables I and II summarize the atomic coordinates and bond lengths and angles of  $[Ru^{11}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$ , respectively.

A stereoview of the complex cation is shown in Figure 2. The coordination geometry about the Ru(III) atom is distorted octahedral, and formation of the Ru-O(1) bond forces the sixmembered Ru-N(1)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-N(2) chelate ring into the boat conformation. Besides serving as an aqua ligand, the water molecule O(2) also forms donor hydrogen bonds with O(9) of an ordered perchlorate group and O(1) of a neighboring complex cation, thereby giving rise to a dimeric unit arranged about a crystallographic  $C_2$  axis.

The trans ligand bond angles around the ruthenium center range from 169.8 (2)° to 175.4 (2)°, indicating distortions from rectilinear geometry. The O(1)-Ru-O(2) angle of 88.1 (1)° is

Table 11. Bond Lengths (Å), Bond Angles (deg), and Selected Torsion Angles (deg)

(i) [Ru	111(C21H23N4	O)(H <sub>2</sub> O)] <sup>2+</sup> Cation	
$\begin{array}{cccc} Ru-O(1) & 1 \\ Ru-N(2) & 2 \\ Ru-N(4) & 2 \\ O(1)-C(2) & 1 \\ N(1)-C(8) & 1 \\ N(2)-C(3) & 1 \\ N(3)-C(10) & 1 \\ N(4)-C(17) & 1 \\ C(1)-C(2) & 1 \\ C(3)-C(4) & 1 \\ C(5)-C(6) & 1 \\ C(8)-C(9) & 1 \\ C(10)-C(11) & 1 \\ C(12)-C(13) & 1 \\ C(15)-C(16) & 1 \\ C(17)-C(18) & 1 \\ C(19)-C(20) & 1 \\ \end{array}$	.961 (4) .083 (5) .101 (5) .426 (7) .437 (8) .337 (8) .351 (7) .334 (8) .517 (8) .370 (9) .357 (10) .497 (9) .369 (9) .485 (9) .397 (10) .377 (10)	Ru-N(1) Ru-N(3) Ru-O(2) N(1)-C(1) N(1)-C(15) N(2)-C(7) N(3)-C(14) N(4)-C(21) C(2)-C(3) C(4)-C(5) C(6)-C(7) C(9)-C(10) C(11)-C(12) C(13)-C(14) C(16)-C(17) C(18)-C(19) C(20)-C(21)	2.087 (5) 2.099 (5) 2.115 (3) 1.517 (8) 1.346 (7) 1.357 (8) 1.348 (8) 1.360 (8) 1.375 (9) 1.375 (9) 1.375 (9) 1.375 (9) 1.375 (11) 1.380 (9) 1.576 (11) 1.356 (13) 1.358 (9)
$\begin{array}{l} O(1)-Ru-N(1)\\ N(1)-Ru-N(2)\\ N(1)-Ru-N(3)\\ O(1)-Ru-N(4)\\ N(2)-Ru-N(4)\\ N(2)-Ru-O(2)\\ N(2)-Ru-O(2)\\ N(4)-Ru-O(2)\\ Ru-N(1)-C(1)\\ C(1)-N(1)-C(8)\\ C(1)-N(1)-C(8)\\ C(1)-N(1)-C(15)\\ Ru-N(2)-C(3)\\ C(3)-N(2)-C(7)\\ Ru-N(3)-C(14)\\ Ru-N(4)-C(17)\\ C(17)-N(4)-C(21)\\ O(1)-C(2)-C(1)\\ O(1)-C(2)-C(1)\\ C(1)-C(2)-C(1)\\ C(1)-C(2)-C(1)\\ C(3)-C(4)-C(5)\\ C(5)-C(6)-C(7)\\ N(1)-C(8)-C(9)\\ N(3)-C(10)-C(9)\\ C(9)-C(10)-C(13)\\ N(3)-C(10)-C(13)\\ N(3)-C(14)-C(13)\\ N(3)-C(14)-C(13)\\ N(3)-C(14)-C(13)\\ N(3)-C(14)-C(13)\\ C(15)-C(16)-C(17)\\ N(4)-C(17)-C(18)\\ C(17)-C(18)-C(19)\\ C(17)-C(18)-C(19)\\ C(19)-C(20)-C(21)\\ Ru-N(1)-C(1)-C(2)\\ C(3)-N(2)-Ru-N(1)\\ Ru-N(1)-C(15)-C(16)\\ C(15)-C(16)-C(17)-N(4)\\ C(17)-N(4)-Ru-N(1)\\ \end{array}$	82.1 (2) 86.3 (2) 98.1 (2) 95.6 (2) 175.4 (2) 88.1 (1) 91.6 (2) 86.2 (2) 106.8 (3) 107.3 (5) 107.3 (5) 107.3 (5) 117.8 (3) 123.0 (5) 117.8 (3) 123.0 (5) 117.9 (5) 109.1 (5) 108.8 (4) 123.3 (5) 117.4 (6) 115.4 (5) 119.8 (6) 122.4 (5) 112.7 (6) 122.7 (7) 118.2 (7) 4.2 (5) -74.6 (6) 65.7 (8) 14.9 (5) 49.4 (7) 44.3 (8) -30.2 (4)	O(1)-Ru-N(2) O(1)-Ru-N(3) N(2)-Ru-N(3) N(3)-Ru-N(4) N(3)-Ru-N(4) N(3)-Ru-O(2) Ru-O(1)-C(2) Ru-O(1)-C(2) Ru-N(1)-C(15) C(8)-N(1)-C(15) C(8)-N(1)-C(15) C(10)-N(3)-C(10) C(10)-N(3)-C(10) C(10)-N(3)-C(10) O(1)-C(2)-C(3) N(2)-C(3)-C(2) O(1)-C(2)-C(3) N(2)-C(3)-C(2) C(2)-C(3)-C(4) C(4)-C(5)-C(6) N(2)-C(7)-C(6) C(8)-C(9)-C(10) N(3)-C(10)-C(11) C(10)-C(11)-C(12) C(12)-C(13)-C(14) C(12)-C(13)-C(14) C(12)-C(13)-C(14) C(12)-C(13)-C(14) C(12)-C(13)-C(14) C(12)-C(13)-C(14) C(12)-C(13)-C(16) N(4)-C(17)-C(16) C(16)-C(17)-C(20) N(4)-C(21)-C(20) N(1)-C(1)-C(2)-C(20) N(1)-C(1)-C(2)-C(20) N(1)-C(1)-C(20)-C(10)-N(3)-F(10)-C(20) N(3)-Ru-N(1)-C(8) N(3)-Ru-N(1)-C(8) N(4)-Ru-N(1)-C(10)-C(	$\begin{array}{c} 80.3 (2) \\ 169.9 (2) \\ 89.6 (2) \\ 95.2 (2) \\ 94.5 (2) \\ 170.2 (2) \\ 91.4 (2) \\ 102.7 (3) \\ 111.2 (4) \\ 113.0 (4) \\ 122.2 (4) \\ 113.0 (4) \\ 122.2 (4) \\ 113.0 (4) \\ 122.2 (4) \\ 118.3 (5) \\ 118.6 (4) \\ 108.6 (5) \\ 106.3 (5) \\ 110.4 (5) \\ 126.3 (6) \\ 120.3 (6) \\ 121.2 (6) \\ 118.8 (7) \\ 113.5 (5) \\ 119.4 (7) \\ 123.6 (6) \\ 10 \\ -75.5 (3) \\ -80.4 (7) \\$
$O(2) \cdots O(1)^a$ $O(1)^a \cdots O(2) \cdots O(9)^b$	2.60 (1)	$O(2) \cdots O(9)^{b}$ $B = O(2) \cdots O(1)^{a}$	2.67(1)
$Ru = O(2) \cdots O(9)^{b}$	119.4 (8)	$O(2) \cdots O(1)^{a} - C(2)^{a}$	<sup>a</sup> 110.3 (7)
$O(2) \cdots O(9)^{p} - Cl(3)^{p}$	135.0 (1)		

<sup>a</sup> Symmetry transformations: -x, y, 1/2 - z. <sup>b</sup> Symmetry transformations: -x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

comparable to the value of 89.4 (2)° for the [(H<sub>2</sub>O)-(bpy)<sub>2</sub>Ru<sup>111</sup>]<sub>2</sub>O<sup>4+</sup> reported by Gilbert and co-workers.<sup>23</sup> The cis-O(1)-Ru-N (80.3 (2)°-95.6 (2)°) and N-Ru-O(2) angles  $(86.2 (2)^{\circ}-91.6 (2)^{\circ})$  are quite comparable to the analogous angles in  $[(H_2O)(bpy)_2Ru^{111}]_2O^{4+23}$  and *trans*- $[Ru^{111}(bpy)_2-(OH)(H_2O)]^{2+.24}$ 

An important structural feature of the molecule is the marked difference in the Ru-O bond distances. The measured Ru-O(2) distance of 2.115 (3) Å is a normal  $Ru-OH_2$  bond. It is similar to the value of 2.136 (4) Å in  $[(H_2O)(bpy)_2Ru^{111}]_2O^{4+23}$  but significantly longer than distances observed in the structures of

<sup>(22)</sup> Moyer, B. A.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 436.

<sup>(23)</sup> Gilbert, J. A.; Eggleston, D. S.; Murphy, W. R., Jr.; Geselowitz, D. ; Gersten, S. W.; Hodgson, D. J.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3855.



Figure 3. Cyclic voltammogram of  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)](ClO_4)_2$  in (a) 0.1 M  $HClO_4 + 0.1 M NaClO_4 (pH 1) and (b) acetate buffer (pH 4): working$ electrode, EPG; scan rate, 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>

 $[Ru^{111}(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$  [2.016 (4)-2.037 (5) Å]<sup>25</sup> and *trans*-[Ru<sup>111</sup>-(bpy)<sub>2</sub>(OH)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sup>2+</sup> (2.007 (3) Å).<sup>24</sup> The Ru-O(1) distance of 1.961 (4) Å for the alkoxy group is considerably shorter than the Ru-O(2) distance. This is understandable since the alkoxy group is a better  $\sigma$  donor than H<sub>2</sub>O, and there is considerable overlap between the  $p_r(O)$  and  $d_r(Ru)$  orbitals. To the best of our knowledge, there are few structures on alkoxyruthenium, and the reported examples all show short metal-alkoxide distance, for example, Ru-O(alkoxide) distances in [Ru<sup>1V</sup>(TPP)(p- $OC_6H_4CH_3)_2O^{26}$  and  $[Ru^{11i}(TPP)(OEt)(EtOH)]^{26}$   $(H_2TPP =$ meso-tetraphenylporphyrin) are 1.944 (11) and 2.019 (3) Å, respectively.

The measured Ru-N(tertiary) and Ru-N(py) distances of 2.087 (5) and 2.083 (5)-2.101 (5) Å are similar and are comparable to those values found in other Ru(III) systems. For example, the Ru–N distances in  $[Ru^{111}(NH_3)_6]^{3+27}$  and  $[(H_2O)(bpy)_2Ru^{111}]_2O^{4+23}$  are 2.104 (4) and 2.029 (5)–2.089 (4) A, respectively.

The Ru–O(1)–C(2) angle of 102.8 (3)° is much smaller than the values of 128.4 (8)°-132.2 (10)° for the alkoxy Ru-O-C angle in  $[Ru(TPP)(p-C_6H_4CH_3)]_2O^{26}$  and 124.5 (2)° in  $[Ru^{11}-$ (TPP)(OEt)(EtOH)],<sup>26</sup> reflecting the distortion required for the coordination. The O(1)-C(2)-C(1) bond angle of 109.1 (5)° is similar to the analogous angle in [Ru<sup>111</sup>(TPP)(OEt)(EtOH)] (111.6 (3)°).<sup>26</sup> The bond distances and angles in the pyridine rings are typical of those found in other pyridine systems where values of about 118° and 122°, respectively, are usually observed.<sup>28</sup> Electrochemistry. The cyclic voltammogram of  $[Ru^{111}(N_4$ -

 $O(H_2O)$  (ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> shows two couples, I and II, at 0.35 and 1.02

Table III. pH Dependence on Cyclic Voltammetric Data of  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$  in Aqueous Medium<sup>a</sup>

		(i) p	H 1.0-3.0		
	pН	$E_{1/2}[Ru($	III/II)]	$E_{1/2}[R]$	u(V/III)]
	1.1	0.3	15	1	.02
	2.0	0.2	28	C	.97
	3.0	0.2	24	Ŀ	)
		(ii) p	H 4.0-10.0	)	
pН	$E_{1/2}[R]$	u(III/II)]	$E_{1/2}[\operatorname{Ru}(I$	V/III)]	$E_{1/2}[\operatorname{Ru}(V/IV)]$
4.0		0.19	0.7	6	0.98
5.0		0.13	0.6	7	0.96
6.0		0.04	0.5	7	0.96
7.0		0.06	0.5	0	0.97
10.0	_	0.26	03	3	0.07

<sup>a</sup>All potentials are reported in V vs SCE. Working electrode, EPG; scan rate, 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>; ionic strength, 0.20 M. <sup>b</sup>A broad couple at 0.89 V vs SCE is observed, assignable to the Ru(IV/III) couple.

V vs SCE with an edge-plane pyrolytic graphite electrode in aqueous medium at pH = 1 (Figure 3a). Couple I has been assigned as the Ru(III/II) couple (controlled potential coulometry, n = 0.987;  $\Delta E_p \simeq 60 \text{ mV}$ ;  $i_{pa}/i_{pc} \simeq 1$ ). Couple II is a two-electron Ru(V/III) couple which begins to split as the pH is increased into two quasi-reversible one-electron couples, III and IV, appearing at 0.76 and 0.98 V vs SCE, respectively, at pH = 4.0 (Figure 3b). Couple III has been assigned to a Ru(IV/III) couple and couple IV to a Ru(V/IV) couple. Rotating disc voltammetric experiments also verified the two-electron nature of couple II with limiting currents of couples III and IV being the same as that of couple I (rotating speed, 400 rpm; scan speed, 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>; EPG; pH = 4, acetate buffer) (Supplementary Material, Figure S1).

As the pH of the medium is raised, the formal potentials of couples I, II, and III will shift cathodically, while that of couple IV remains relatively independent of pH. A Pourbaix diagram of the half-wave potentials versus pH of the medium over the pH range 1-10 is shown in Figure 4, and a summary of the results is tabulated in Table III.

At pH <3.5, the Ru(V/III) couple appears at the expense of the Ru(V/IV) and Ru(IV/III) couples. Its E° increases linearly with decreasing pH with a slope of -57 mV/pH unit. This is in accordance with a two-proton two-electron process represented by

couple II: 
$$[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+} + 2H^{+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow [Ru^{111}(N_{4}O)(H_{2}O)]^{2+}$$

Similar proton-coupled electron-transfer reactions have also been observed in other oxo/aquo complexes of osmium,<sup>29</sup> ruthenium,22,29c,30 and rhenium.31

At 5.5 > pH > 3.5, the wave for the Ru(V/III) couple splits into two waves, a pH-independent one-electron wave for the Ru(V/IV) couple (slope = 0 mV/pH unit) and a two-proton one-electron wave with a slope of -117 mV per pH unit, consistent with the 2H<sup>+</sup>/le<sup>-</sup> nature of the couple. These are represented by

couple IV: 
$$[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+} + e^{-} \rightarrow [Ru^{1V}(N_{4}O)O]^{+}$$

couple III: 
$$[Ru^{1V}(N_4O)O]^+ + 2H^+ + e^- \rightarrow$$

The direct reduction of Ru(V) to Ru(III) in acidic medium (pH < 3.5) implies that Ru(IV) is thermodynamically unstable

 $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$ 

<sup>(24)</sup> Durham, B.; Wilson, S. R.; Hodgson, D. J.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 600.

<sup>Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 600.
(25) Dong, V.; Keller, H. J.; Endres, H.; Moroni, W.; Nothe, D. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 1977, B33, 2428.
(26) Collman, J. P.; Barnes, C. E.; Brothers, P. J.; Collins, T. J.; Ozawa, T.; Gallucci, J. C.; Ibers, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5151.
(27) Stynes, H. C.; Ibers, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 2304.
(28) Cheng, P. T.; Loescher, B. R.; Nyburg, S. C. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 1275.</sup> 

<sup>1275.</sup> 

<sup>(29) (</sup>a) Che, C. M.; Cheng, W. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 4644.
(b) Dobson, J. C.; Takeuchi, K. J.; Pipes, D. W.; Geselowitz, D. A.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 2357. (c) Takeuchi, K. J.; Samuels, G. J.; Gersten, S. W.; Gilbert, J. A.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 1407.
(30) (a) Che, C. M.; Wong, K. Y.; Poon, C. K. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 1472.
(1) Takeuchi K. J.; Thorpson M. S.; Piere, D. W.; Meyer, T. J. Jack

<sup>1797. (</sup>b) Takeuchi, K. J.; Thompson, M. S.; Pipes, D. W.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 1845. (31) Pipes, D. W.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 3256.

Scheme II



with respect to disproportionation into Ru(III) and Ru(V) under these conditions:

$$2[Ru^{1V}(N_4O)O]^+ + 2H^+ \underbrace{\overset{K_{disp.}}{\longleftarrow}}_{[Ru^{11}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}} + [Ru^{V}(N_4O)O]^{2+}$$

where  $K_{disp}$  is calculated to be 110 mol<sup>-2</sup> dm<sup>6</sup> ( $\Delta G_{disp} = -11.6$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta E_{1/2disp} = 0.12$  V at 25 °C at pH = 1).<sup>32</sup> The positive  $K_{\text{disp}}$  value suggests that at pH < 3.5  $E^{\circ}$  for Ru(IV/III) must occur at more positive potential than for Ru(V/IV) so that thermodynamically, no Ru(IV) can build up in solution to a significant degree.

At 10 > pH > 5.5, the Ru(IV/III) couple remains quasi-reversible with a slope of -61 mV/pH unit. The change of slope suggests a one-proton one-electron process in this pH range:

couple III: 
$$[Ru^{1V}(N_4O)O]^+ + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow [Ru^{111}(N_4O)(OH)]^+$$

Similarly, the Ru(III/II) couple shows changes of slope in the Pourbaix djagram. At pH < 5.5, the Ru(III/II) couple has been shown to be a one-proton one-electron wave as demonstrated by the slope of -58 mV/pH unit in the Pourbaix diagram where

couple I: 
$$[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+} + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow [Ru^{11}(N_4O(H))(H_2O)]^{2+}$$

The one-electron reduced form of  $[Ru^{1l}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$  in 0.1 M HClO<sub>4</sub> has been characterized by UV-vis spectrophotometry.<sup>33</sup> At 1 < pH < 4, both the  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  and  $[Ru^{11}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^+$  ions should exist in the nonprotonated form. Hence the redox potential accompanying the electrode reaction,  $Ru(III) + e^- \rightarrow Ru(II)$ , is expected to be pH independent. The fact that the  $E^{\circ}$  for the Ru(III/II) couple decreases by 60 mV per pH unit suggests that one site in the N<sub>4</sub>O<sup>-</sup> ligand is protonated during reduction. We believe that this is due to the presence of the alkoxide group which easily dissociates off from the electron-rich Ru(II) center and undergoes protonation to N<sub>4</sub>OH as shown in Scheme II. The observed reversibility of the Ru-(III)/Ru(II) couple indicates that the reverse steps in eq 2 and 3 are very fast in the time scale of electrochemical measurements.

At 5.5 < pH < 7, the slope becomes -114 mV/pH, consistent with a two-proton one-electron process which is represented by

couple I: 
$$[Ru^{11}(N_4O)(OH)]^+ + 2H^+ + e^- \rightarrow [Ru^{11}(N_4O(H))(H_2O)]^{2+}$$

As the pH is increased further, the couples Ru(III/II) and Ru-(IV/III) become more and more irreversible, probably resulting from the difficulties encountered in the protonation step.



Figure 4. Pourbaix diagram of  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$  at different pH values: working electrode, EPG; scan rate, 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>

Oxoruthenium(V) Complex. Magnetic susceptibility measurement showed that this Ru(V) complex is paramagnetic. The measured  $\mu_{eff}$  of 2.2  $\mu_{B}$  is higher than that found in *trans*-[Ru<sup>V</sup>- $(TMC)O_2$ <sup>+</sup> ( $\mu_{eff}$ , 1.93  $\mu_B$ ).<sup>3d</sup> With reference to previous spectroscopic studies on metal-oxo system, the energies of the d orbitals fall in the order,  $d_{xy} < d_{xz}, d_{yz} \ll d_{x^2-y^2} < d_{z^2}$ .<sup>34</sup> A  $(d_{xy})^2 (d_{\pi}^*)^1$  $(d_{\pi}^* = d_{xz}, d_{yz})$  ground-state electronic configuration is expected for  $[Ru^V(N_4O)O]^{2+}$ . The measured higher  $\mu_{eff}$  than the spin-only value for one unpaired electron suggests orbital contribution to the magnetic moment.

In the spectrophotometric redox titration of  $[Ru^{v}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$ in 0.1 M HClO<sub>4</sub> using  $[Ru^{11}(NH_3)_4(bpy)]^{2+}$  as the redox titrant, the visible absorption peak of  $[Ru^{11}(NH_3)_4(bpy)]^{2+}$  at 522 nm is found to decrease in intensity with the occurrence of isosbestic points at 440, 372, and 345 nm (Supplementary Material, Figure S2). A stoichiometry of 1:3 has been obtained suggesting the three-electron reduction of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$ . This is in accordance with the Ru(V) formulation since at pH = 1, the  $E^{\circ}$  of the Ru(III/II) couple for  $[Ru^{11}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  is lower than that for  $[Ru^{11}(NH_3)_4(bpy)]^{2+}$  ( $E^\circ = 0.26$  V vs SCE).<sup>35</sup> The IR spectrum of  $[Ru^V(N_4O)O](ClO_4)_2$  shows an intense

Ru=O stretch at 872 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Supplementary Material, Figure S3), which is absent in the  $[Ru^{11}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  complex. The much higher v (Ru=O) than those values of 790-810 cm<sup>-1</sup> found in monooxoruthenium(IV) complexes such as trans-[Ru<sup>1V</sup>(TMC)-O(X)]<sup>n+</sup> (X = Cl, NCO, N<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sup>3d</sup> and [Ru<sup>1V</sup>(trpy)(bpy)- $O|^{2+36}$  suggests a stronger Ru=O bond in Ru(V) than Ru(IV). Except for the differences noted, the IR spectra of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$ and  $[Ru^{ll}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  in the range 4000–600 cm<sup>-1</sup> appear quite similar, which support the conclusion that the  $Ru(N_4O)$  unit remains intact in the oxidation process. The close resemblance of the electronic absorption spectra of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  and  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  (Figure 5) suggests that the spectra are dominated by the  $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$  transition of the ligand, and d-d transitions, if present, are usually very weak and will be masked by the intense intraligand transitions.

Oxidation Reactions of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$ . The  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O) O](ClO_4)_2$  complex is fairly stable in solid state for several days when kept at low temperature (ca. 0 °C). In fluid solutions, it is easily reduced back to the  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  complex, and the reaction rate appears to be much faster at high concentration of the ruthenium complex. Unlike trans- $[Ru^{V}(TMC)O_{2}]^{+,4b}$  this Ru(V) complex does not disproportionate in acidic solutions. It

 $<sup>\</sup>begin{array}{l} (32) \ K_{\rm disp} = [{\rm Ru}^{111} - {\rm H}_2{\rm O}][{\rm Ru}^{\rm V} = {\rm O}]/[{\rm Ru}^{\rm IV} = {\rm O}]^2[{\rm H}^+]^2 \ \text{is the disproportionation equilibrium constant for the reaction, which can be estimated by using the <math>E_{1/2}$  values for the couples II, III, and IV at pH = 1, i.e.,  $E_{1/2 {\rm disp}} = E_{1/2}({\rm IV}/{\rm III}) - E_{1/2}({\rm V}/{\rm IV}) \ \text{where} \ E_{1/2}({\rm IV}/{\rm III}) = 2E_{1/2}({\rm V}/{\rm III}) - E_{1/2}({\rm V}/{\rm IV}) \ \text{where} \ E_{1/2}({\rm IV}/{\rm III}) = 2E_{1/2}({\rm V}/{\rm III}) - E_{1/2}({\rm V}/{\rm III}) \ \text{d} \Delta G_{\rm disp} = -nF\Delta E_{1/2 {\rm disp}} \ \text{and} \ K_{\rm disp} = \exp(-\Delta G_{\rm disp}/RT). \ (33) \ {\rm UV-vis spectrum of} \ [{\rm Ru}^{\rm II}({\rm N}_4{\rm O}({\rm H}))({\rm H}_2{\rm O}]^{2+} \ [\lambda/{\rm nm} \ (\epsilon/{\rm M}^{-1} \ {\rm cm}^{-1}) \ \text{in} \ 0.1 \ {\rm M} \ {\rm HClo}_4: \ 395 \ (12 \ 640), 248 \ (15 \ 030), 200 \ (20 \ 000)]. \end{array}$ 

<sup>(34)</sup> Winkler, J. R.; Gray, H. B. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 346. (35) Alvarez, V. E.; Allen, R. J.; Matsubara, T.; Ford, P. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7686.

 <sup>(36)</sup> Roccker, L.; Kutner, W.; Gilbert, J. A.; Simmons, M.; Murray, R.
 W.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 3784.



Figure 5. (a) UV-vis spectrum of  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)OH_2](ClO_4)_2$  in deionized water and (b) UV-vis spectrum of  $[Ru^V(N_4O)(O)](ClO_4)_2$  in deionized water.

is found to oxidize NaCl or HCl to Cl<sub>2</sub> under mild conditions (yield, 26% at 25 °C). The oxidation reaction occurs readily with immediate spectral change from  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  to  $[Ru^{11-}(N_{4}O)(H_{2}O)]^{2+}$ . Moreover, the  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  complex is found to be a powerful stoichiometric oxidant for organic substrates, the results of which are summarized in Table IV. All reactions occur at room temperatue under degassed conditions and are completed within 10-20 min. These stoichiometric oxidation reactions have been found to be accompanied by the concomitant reduction of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  to  $[Ru^{11}(N_{4}O)(H_{2}O)]^{2+}$ . For alcohols, it oxidizes benzyl alcohol, 4-methylbenzyl alcohol, and heptan-1-ol to the respective benzaldehyde, p-tolualdehyde, and heptanal in quantitative yields.<sup>37</sup> With arenes such as styrene and cis- and trans-stilbenes, oxidative cleavage of the C=C double bond to give benzaldehyde appears to be the dominant reaction pathway. The  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  is also capable of oxidizing tetrahydrofuran to  $\gamma$ -butyrolactone in almost quantitative yield. More important is the finding that  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  is capable of oxidizing saturated hydrocarbons such as cyclohexane and adamantane, with the reaction completed within 20 min. The detection of cyclohexanone indicates that this  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$ complex is effective in the oxidation of unactivated C-H bonds.<sup>38</sup> Oxidation of adamantane gave 1-adamantanol exclusively with only trace amounts of 2-adamantanol and 2-adamantanone detected, indicating the higher selectivity of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  toward oxidation of the tertiary C-H bond. On the other hand, oxidation of norbornylene with  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  affords no exo-2,3-ep-

Table I	V.	Oxidation	of	Organic	and	Inorga	nic	Substrates	by
[Ru <sup>v</sup> (N	N₄O	$O(ClO_{4})$	, in	Aceton	itrile	at 25	°C		

1
1
1
)
)
i
)
)
,
<b>;</b>

<sup>a</sup> Based on metal complex used. <sup>b</sup> Trace amounts of 2-adamantanol and 2-adamantanone were also detected.

oxynorbornane. However, immediate spectral change from  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  to  $[Ru^{111}(N_{4}O)(H_{2}O)]^{2+}$  is observed upon addition of norbornylene to an acetonitrile solution of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$ . Most probably the norbornylene is oxidized to some unknown products, possibly via the oxidative cleavage of the C=C double bond.

In a previous study, we reported that  $[Ru^{11l}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$ catalyzes the oxidation of olefins by PhIO.9 In this work, we find that the catalytic oxidation probably involves  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  as one of the possible reactive intermediates. Addition of PhIO to an acetonitrile solution of  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  readily generated  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$ , which can be rapidly and quantitatively reduced back to the starting Ru(III) complex upon addition of styrene, alcohols, and norbornylene. A direct comparison between the reactivities of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  and  $[Ru^{111}(N_{4}O)(H_{2}O)]^{2+}$ -PhIO with arenes and olefins reveals that the two systems react differently. In the " $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$ -PhIO" system,<sup>9</sup> epoxides were found as one of the major organic products, which are different from the results found for the stoichiometric oxidation by  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  (Table IV). It is likely that in the "- $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)]^{2+}$ -PhIO" system, more than one reactive intermediate may be present.<sup>39</sup> Nevertheless, results clearly demonstrate that the  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  complex is an active oxidant in the oxidation of both organic and inorganic substrates.

#### **Concluding Remarks**

Two new ruthenium complexes,  $[Ru^{111}(N_4O)(H_2O)](ClO_4)_2$ and  $[Ru^{v}(N_{4}O)O](ClO_{4})_{2}$ , were synthesized. The successful isolation of the highly oxidizing  $[Ru^{v}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  complex lies in the fact that the  $N_4O^-$  ligand, with its anionic chelating nature and resistance toward oxidation, renders the stabilization of high oxidation state and hence formation of stable strongly oxidizing metal complexes possible. In fact, the approach of employing oxidation resistant anionic ligands to produce metal complexes in high oxidation states capable of exhibiting unique forms of oxidation reactivity has been a major direction in the design of potential oxidants. The  $[Ru^{v}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  complex has provided us an opportunity to investigate the oxidation chemistry of the Ru(V)=O system. Simple molecular orbital theory would predict that the metal-oxo bond in oxoruthenium(V) with only one electron in the antibonding  $d_{\pi}^*$  orbitals should be stronger than that in oxoruthenium(IV) [Ru(IV),  $(d_{xy})^2(d_{xz})^1(d_{yz})^1$ ]. The fact that the  $\nu$  (Ru=O) stretching frequency of [Ru<sup>V</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)O]<sup>2+</sup> is substantially higher than that for other monooxoruthenium(IV) complexes<sup>3a,36</sup> indicates a stronger metal-oxo bond in Ru(V). The strong metal-oxo bond together with the high redox potential of  $[Ru^{V}]$  $(N_4O)O]^{2+}$  would render the oxo group to be very electrophilic, leading to a high affinity of Ru(V)=O in hydrogen atom/hydride abstraction.

<sup>(37)</sup> No benzoic acids were detected by both UV-vis and  ${}^{1}\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy. This is understandable since alcohols were present in large excess in the oxidation reactions.

<sup>(38)</sup> Preliminary results showed that the oxidation of substituted benzyl alcohols, tetrahydrofuran, cyclohexane, and styrene by  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  in CH<sub>3</sub>CN revealed a second-order kinetics with rate = k[Ru(V)][substrate]. Mechanistic details will be reported subsequently.

<sup>(39)</sup> Hill and co-workers reported that the reaction between Mn(TPP)-(OAc) ( $H_2TPP =$  tetraphenylporphyrin) and PhIO yielded a manganese complex with coordinated PhIO, [PhI(OAc)O]<sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>IV</sup>(TPP), which is active toward alkane oxidation and olefin epoxidation (Smegal, J. A.; Hill, C. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1983**, 105, 2920). It is likely that similar Ru-PhIO intermediates may be present in the catalytic oxidation reactions.

The high  $E^{\circ}$  for the Ru(V/III) couple renders [Ru<sup>V</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)O]<sup>2+</sup> capable of oxidizing Cl<sup>-</sup> to Cl<sub>2</sub> in aqueous solution. Similar results were obtained by Meyer and co-workers based on the  $[(bpy)_2-(O)Ru^V]_2O^{4+}$  dimeric species.<sup>40</sup> This suggests that oxidation of  $Cl^{-}$  to  $Cl_{2}$  depends only on the redox potential of the oxidant concerned, irrespective of its dimeric or monomeric nature.

The observation that  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  is capable of oxidizing the unactivated C-H bond in cyclohexane leads us to believe that high-valent ruthenium-oxo complexes, if suitably designed, have potential application in selective oxidation of alkanes. We believe that the ability of  $[Ru^{v}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  in cyclohexane oxidation probably lies in its high redox potential since other complexes such as *trans*- $[Ru^{v_1}(TMC)O_2]^{2+3a}$  and  $[Ru^{1v}(trpy)(bpy)O]^{2+41}$  having lower reduction potentials are found to be relatively unreactive in cyclohexane oxidation.

In a previous study, it has been found that trans-dioxoruthenium(V) of amines rapidly disproportionates in solution<sup>4b</sup> and that Ru(IV)=O complexes such as *trans*-[ $Ru^{IV}(TMC)O(CH_3CN)$ ]<sup>2+3d</sup> and [ $Ru^{IV}(trpy)(bpy)O$ ]<sup>2+41</sup> remain stable in acidic solutions. In this work, the  $[Ru^{v}(N_{4}O)O]^{2+}$  ion remains stable in acidic solution, whereas the  $[Ru^{IV}(N_4O)O]^+$  ion disproportionates. It thus appears that the stability of Ru=O complexes toward disproportionation may not have any correlation with the oxidation state and hence electronic configuration of the central ruthenium ion. The nonlabile coordinated ligands, which will affect the redox potentials of the Ru=O complexes and the  $pK_a$ values of the "Ru-O" moiety may contribute significantly to the stability of Ru=O complex in a given oxidation state.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from the University of Hong Kong and the Croucher Foundation (C.M.C. and V.W. W.Y.) are gratefully acknowledged. We thank Clare Ho for repeating some of the stoichiometric oxidations in acetonitrile. V.W.W.Y. acknowledges the receipt of scholarships from Li Po Chun and Croucher Foundation.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of hydrogen atomic coordinates and thermal parameters and anisotropic thermal parameters, rotating disk voltammogram of [Ru<sup>111</sup>(N<sub>4</sub>O)OH<sub>2</sub>]- $(ClO_4)_2$  in pH 4 acetate buffer, (Figure S1), spectrophotometric redox titration of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)(O)](ClO_{4})_{2}$ , (Figure S2), and IR spectrum of  $[Ru^{V}(N_{4}O)(O)](ClO_{4})_{2}$  (Figure S3) (5 pages); table of observed and calculated structure factors (21 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

## Solid-Phase Peptide Synthesis of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ Domains of Human Liver Metallothionein 2 and the Metallothionein of Neurospora crassa

F. Jon Kull,<sup>†</sup> Michael F. Reed,<sup>†</sup> Timothy E. Elgren,<sup>†</sup> Thomas L. Ciardelli,<sup>‡</sup> and Dean E. Wilcox\*,\*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire 03755, and Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, New Hampshire 03756. Received February 21, 1989

Abstract: The Cys-rich peptides corresponding to the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  domains of human liver metallothionein 2 (MT-2) and the MT from Neurospora crassa (NcMT) have been prepared for the first time by solid-phase peptide synthesis and purified by HPLC. These synthetic peptides bind Cd(II), Ag(I), and Cu(I) in identical stoichiometries with the proteolytically derived domains from rat and rabbit liver MT and the natural NcMT. Absorption and CD features observed upon Cd(II) and Cu(I) binding to the synthetic peptides are qualitatively similar to those reported for the natural domains and NcMT. <sup>113</sup>Cd NMR of the synthetic  $Cd_4 \alpha$  domain indicates that three of the metal ions are in environments identical with those in the whole protein and in the proteolytically derived  $\alpha$  domain; the chemical shift of the fourth Cd(II), which is located near the junction with the  $\beta$  domain, indicates this metal ion is in a somewhat different environment than in the native protein. Thus, we have shown that it is possible to use solid-phase peptide synthesis to prepare MT. This demonstrates the successful use of solid-phase methods for peptides with high Cys content and now provides a methodology for the facile sequence modification of MT.

Metallothioneins  $(MT)^1$  are a class of small (~61 amino acids), ubiquitous, cysteine-rich ( $\sim 30\%$ ) proteins that typically bind 7 (Cd(II), Zn(II)) or  $\sim 12$  (Cu(I), Ag(I)) metal ions through metal-thiol ligation. They have been shown to bind essential (Cu(I), Zn(II)) as well as toxic (Cd(II), Hg(II)) metal ions in vivo, and although their biological role is not clear, it is likely they are involved in metal ion metabolism. The 25-residue MT from Neurospora crassa (NcMT) shows<sup>2</sup> Cys homology with the N-terminal ( $\beta$ ) domain of MT from higher organisms and appears to play a role in Cu metabolism in the fungus.

Initial <sup>113</sup>Cd NMR studies<sup>3</sup> of Cd<sub>7</sub>MT indicated that this metal ion was bound in isolated three- and four-metal clusters with Cys ligation. Subsequently, enzymatic cleavage methods were developed to isolate<sup>4</sup> the 31-61-residue  $\alpha$  domain that binds the four-Cd(II) cluster and later separate<sup>5</sup> the 1-31-residue  $\beta$  domain that binds the three-Cd(II) cluster. Proteolytic preparation of the two domains is possible on the basis of differential metal ion binding by the two peptide segments; Cd(II) binds more strongly as a four-ion cluster in the  $\alpha$  domain and stabilizes it toward

<sup>(40) (</sup>a) Ellis, C. D.; Gilbert, J. A.; Murphy, W. R., Jr.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4842. (b) Vining, W. J.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 2023.

<sup>(41)</sup> Moyer, B. A.; Thompson, M. S.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2310.

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Dartmouth College. <sup>‡</sup> Dartmouth Medical School.

 <sup>(</sup>a) Kagi, J. H. R., Nordberg, M., Eds. Metallothionein; Birkhauser Verlag: Basel, 1979.
 (b) Kagi, J. H. R., Kojima, Y., Eds. Metallothionein II; Birkhauser Verlag: Basel, 1987.
 (2) Lerch, K. Nature 1980, 284, 368-370.
 (3) Otvos, J. D.; Armitage, I. M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1980, 77, 7044, 7004.

<sup>7094-7098.</sup> 

 <sup>(4)</sup> Winge, D. R.; Miklossy, K.-A. J. Biol. Chem. 1982, 257, 3471–3476.
 (5) Nielson, K. B.; Winge, D. R. J. Biol. Chem. 1984, 259, 4941–4946.